



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 651,310	08.30.2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977-151002/US3339D1	2287

7590 09.12.2003

Scott C Harris
Fish & Richardson PC
4350 La Jolla Village Drive Suite 500
San Diego, CA 92122

EXAMINER

PARKER, KENNETH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2871

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/651,310

Examiner

Kenneth A Parker

Applicant(s)

YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI

Art Unit

2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133)
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/854,304.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

New rejections are presented below in response to a newly discovered reference. Any previous indication or implication that claims were allowable has been reconsidered in response to the newly discovered reference(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 8 and 16, it is unclear if the language "least a register circuit, a NAND circuit, a level shifter circuit, OR a buffer circuit" is intended on being an alternative form or not. For examination purposes, it is assumed that the language is alternative, so reference showing only a buffer circuit are sufficient to meet the claimed limitation.

In claims 9 and 17, the language "does not include a black matrix" is indefinite, as many element function as a black matrix, such as the gate and source lines, and therefore it is unclear if lacking a black matrix means to omit these lines.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obvious to one of ordinary skill ness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 2871

skill at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 9-10, 14-18, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misawa 5250931 in view of Lebrun et al 5606194, Castleberry 4904056 and Adachi et al, 5155612.

Claim 1 is written to A display device comprising: a pair of substrates; an active matrix circuit and peripheral driver circuit provided on one of the pair of the substrates; and a sealing member formed substrates so to seal the peripheral driver circuit, with sealing member being capable light blocking wherein said sealing member comprises a **pigment for light blocking**. Claim 8 is an electronic device comprising: first substrate and a second substrate; a driver circuit region formed on said first substrate, said driver circuit region having at least one of a register circuit, NAND circuit, level shifter circuit and a buffer circuit; an active matrix region formed on said first substrate, said active matrix region having at least a pixel; a sealing member formed between said first and second substrates, said sealing member bonding said first and second substrates and covering said driver circuit region; wherein said sealing member shields said wherein said sealing member comprises **a pigment for light blocking**. Claim 16 is a display device comprising: at least a first substrate and a second substrate; formed on said first a driver circuit region substrate, said driver circuit region having at least a register circuit, a NAND circuit, a level shifter circuit, **OR** a buffer circuit, wherein at least a CMOS transistor is formed in driver circuit region, CMOS thin film transistor and a first n-channel p-channel thin film transistor; an active matrix region formed said first substrate, said active matrix region having at least a pixel, wherein a second p-channel film

Art Unit: 2871

transistor formed in said pixel; a sealing member formed between said first and second substrates and covering said driver circuit region; and sealing member bonding said first and second wherein **said sealing member comprises pigment light blocking and wherein said sealing member shields said driver circuit region from light.**

Misawa discloses a liquid crystal device with P and N type cmos transistors in the driver circuits which include a buffer, and P or N drivers in the active region. The device includes the use as a projector, and is not shown with a black matrix. Lacking is the sealant over the circuit with a pigment for blocking light. Lebrun et al teaches that using a sealant over the circuit (figure 1) enables the low cost protection, and having the sealant be opaque gives the added benefit of protecting the circuit from light. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill, in the device of Misawa, to employ an opaque seal for enclosing the liquid crystal and bonding the substrate to cover the circuit for the low cost protection from the environment and light. Still lacking is the use of a pigment.

Adachi et al teaches that the sealants need to be able to block light, and adds pigments to the dyes to assure sufficient light blocking (col. 7, lines 16-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill, in the device of Hayashi, to use a light blocking sealant with coloring agents (pigment), to ensure sufficient light blocking as taught by Adachi et al. Many of the low cost conventional sealants, such as polyimide and acrylic require pigment pigments to block light. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to employ pigments in order to enable use of the conventional low cost materials.

Art Unit: 2871

The rejection can be viewed as Lebrun in view of Misawa and the two secondary references Adachi and Castleberry, both provided to show the well known nature of the use of dyes for creating light blocking sealants. Misawa teaches that their CMOS active matrix and drivers enables low cost with a high reliability and resolution (column 2, lines 38-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill , in the device of Lebrun, to employ the CMOS active matrix and driver structure of Misawa for the benefits of low cost with a high reliability and resolution. This teaching of Misawa was, at the time of invention, notoriously well known, and would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill for that reason. For this version, still lacking is the use of a pigment. Adachi et al teaches that the sealants need to be able to block light, and adds pigments to the dyes to assure sufficient light blocking (col. 7, lines 16-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill , in the device of Hayashi, to use a light blocking sealant with coloring agents (pigment), to ensure sufficient light blocking as taught by Adachi et al.

Claims 5, 7, 15 and 21 are a display device according to claim 1 wherein the substrates are bonded each other with the sealing member and a device according to claim 1 further comprising a liquid crystal material between the substrates, wherein the sealing member seals the liquid crystal material and claim 15 is a device according claim 8 further comprising liquid crystal material injected between the first and the second substrate. Claim 21 is a device according claim 16 further comprising a liquid crystal material injected between the first substrate and the second substrate. These are met by Misawa as modified by Lebrun as the Misawa is modified to include the light

Art Unit: 2871

blocking seal of Lebrun which provides these functions. Claims 10 and 18 are a device according to claim 8 wherein said shift register circuit comprises least a clocked inverter and inverter. As the shift register is part of the OR, so is met by the buffer whether or not this claim language is met. Regarding claim 14 which is an electronic device according to claim 8 wherein said device is a projector, the projector is explicitly taught by the Misawa. Claim 3 is a display device according claim 1 wherein one of an electrode or a wiring line connected to a source or drain of a thin-film transistor formed in the matrix circuit is one of a metal film, a semiconductor film, and a silicide film, and wherein a light blocking film for the thin-film transistor is formed by using the one the of the metal film, the semiconductor film, and a silicide film. This is met by the combined references as the secondary reference places a light blocking film over all of the elements of the driver circuit.

Claim 4 is a display device according to claim 1 wherein said pair of the substrates are glass substrates or quartz substrates. These were the conventionally used materials, and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill for that reason.

Claims 2, 6, 7, 9, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misawa 5250931 in view of Lebrun et al 5606194, Castleberry 4904056 and Adachi et al, 5155612 as applied above, and further in view of Wakai 5003356.

Claims 2, 9 and 7 are a display device according claim 1 wherein active matrix circuit has pixels arranged in matrix form, and wherein regions in each of the pixels

Art Unit: 2871

where source lines and drain lines overlap with a pixel electrode form a black matrix.

Claim 9 is a device according to claim 8 wherein said device does not include a black matrix. Claim 17 is a device according to claim 16 wherein said device does not include a black matrix. The use of the pixels overlapping the gate and source lines was a notoriously well known method of providing a low cost black matrix in liquid crystal devices. This is evidenced by Wakai . Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to overlap these for the benefit of the low cost.

Claims 6, 11 and 19 are a device according to claim 1 further comprising: at least CMOS transistor formed in the driver circuit region, said CMOS transistor having an n-channel thin film transistor and a p-channel thin transistor; a film transistor formed in each pixel in the active matrix circuit, said thin film transistor having at an active layer, to said active layer, a gate electrode adjacent to said gate insulating film, wherein light blocking film is formed said gate electrode. Claim 19 is substantially a device according to claim 16 wherein, said first p-channel thin film transistor comprises, first source region and a first drain region formed over said first substrate, a first channel forming region formed between said first region and source regions, a first gate insulating region formed adjacent to said source and drain regions and said first channel forming region, a first gate electrode formed adjacent to said first gate insulating film, said n-channel thin film transistor comprises, a third region and a third drain region formed over said first substrate, a third channel forming region formed between said third source and drain regions, a third gate insulating region formed adjacent to said third source and drain regions and said third channel forming region, a third gate

Art Unit: 2871

electrode formed adjacent to said third gate insulating film, said second p-channel thin film transistor comprises, second source region and a second drain region formed over said first substrate, a second channel forming region formed between second source and drain regions, second gate said second source and drain regions and second channel forming region second gate electrode formed adjacent said second gate insulating film, wherein a second gate electrode, with light blocking film is formed.

Claim 11 is a device according claim 8 further comprising: at least a CMOS transistor formed in said driver circuit region, said CMOS transistor having an n-channel thin transistor and a p-channel thin transistor; a thin film transistor formed in said pixel, said thin film transistor having at least an active layer, a gate insulating film adjacent said active layer, a gate electrode adjacent to said gate insulating film, and further comprising a light blocking film formed over said gate electrode. It was well known to employ a light block as claimed to prevent light activation. This is evidenced by Wakai, which teaches such a structure for this benefit (figure 13 and related discussion). Therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use a metal light block near the gate for the reasons taught by Wakai and well known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 20 is a device according to claim 19 further comprising: a first pair of high concentration impurity regions formed

Art Unit: 2871

between said first source and said first channel forming region and between said first channel forming region and said first drain region; a pair of low concentration impurity regions formed between said third source and said third channel forming region and between said and said drain region; and impurity regions formed between said second source and said second channel forming region and between said second channel forming region said second drain region. This was not found taught by the prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth A Parker whose telephone number is 703-305-6202. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.


Kenneth A Parker
Primary Examiner
GAJ 2871

Application/Control Number: 09/651,310
Art Unit: 2871

Page 10

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871
